

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILIT		FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,900 08/01/200		01/2002	/2002 David Thomas Davies		6144	
20462	7590	01/07/2004	EXAMINER			
		HAM CORPORA	MCKENZIE,	MCKENZIE, THOMAS C		
P. O. BOX 1		CIUAL FROFER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
KING OF P	RUSSIA, PA	19406-0939	1624			
				DATE MAH ED: 01/07/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Comments	10/018,900		DAVIES ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Thomas McK		1624	,				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 August 2002</u> .								
2a)□	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	is action is nor	n-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected.									
7)⊠	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>12</u>	4)   5)   201 . 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 10/018,900 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to an application filed on 8/1/02. There are eleven claims pending and eleven under consideration. Claims 1-8 are compound claims. Claim 10 is a composition claim. Claim 11 is a use claim. Claim 9 is a method of synthesis claim. This is the first action on the merits. The application concerns some quinolinyl-piperazine compounds, compositions, and uses thereof.

#### Abstract

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure. A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. In chemical patent abstracts for compounds or compositions, the general nature of the compound or composition should be given as well as its use, *e.g.*, "The compounds are of the class of alkyl benzene sulfonyl ureas, useful as oral anti-diabetics." The abstract is too short and generic. Examiner suggests claim 1, lines 1-16, including the figure, and the utility.

## Claim Objections

3. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: There are two periods at the end of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,900

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 1-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. From the dependant claims it is clear that piperazine compounds are intended for formula (I). However, on the Examiner's copies of the claims, in claim 1 it appears that a dimethylaminoethylene group connects radical R<sup>4</sup> and linkers AB. Has a bond been omitted from the formula?

5. Claims 1-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "pharmaceutically acceptable derivatives thereof" in claims 1 and 9-11 is indefinite for we do not know which compounds are contemplated. Webster's New World Dictionary defines derivative as "a substance derived from ... another substance by chemical change", and "substitution of one or more elements or radicals for one or more constituents of the original substance" has occurred. All implying that new chemical bonds have formed. In lines 27-31, page 6 of the specification, Applicants give an open definition of what derivates they intend. This definition includes salts and N-oxides. What other derivatives are intended? A derivative is the result of a reaction upon an organic molecule. Since we do not know the reagents or the conditions of these reactions, there is no way of determining the

structures of the claimed "derivatives". The phrase "derivatives thereof" is, in essence, a product by process claim. Yet Applicants have not described the intended processes sufficiently that we may understand the structures of the compounds they claim. Clearly, many of the "derivatives" obtained from compounds of formula I, will themselves be covered by formula I. The question is, what compounds falling outside the structural limitations of formula I are covered under the rubric of "derivatives"? The Examiner suggests claiming salts and Noxides, if that is what is intended.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants' compounds are novel over Davies ('882) which claims only piperidine compounds, not the piperazines of the present application.

#### Conclusion

7. Please direct any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner to Thomas C McKenzie, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-9806. After February 9, 2004, the Examiner may be reached at (571) 272-0670. The FAX number for amendments is (703) 872-9306. The PTO presently encourages all applicants to communicate by FAX. The

Art Unit: 1624

Examiner is available from 8:30 to 5:30, Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, you can reach the Examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah at (703) 308-4716. Please direct general inquiries or any inquiry relating to the status of this application to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Thomas C. McKenzie, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1624

TCMcK